United Nations A/66/394/Corr.1

General Assembly

Distr.: General
1 November 2011

Original: English

Sixty-sixth session
Agenda items 133, 134, 139, 141, 144, 145 and 146

Programme budget for the biennium 2010-2011

Proposed programme budget for the biennium 2012-2013

Human resources management

United Nations common system

Financing of the International Criminal Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Genocide and Other Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of Rwanda and Rwandan Citizens Responsible for Genocide and Other Such Violations Committed in the Territory of Neighbouring States between 1 January and 31 December 1994

Financing of the International Tribunal for the Prosecution of Persons Responsible for Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law Committed in the Territory of the Former Yugoslavia since 1991

Administrative and budgetary aspects of the financing of the United Nations peacekeeping operations

Administrative and financial implications of the decisions and recommendations contained in the report of the International Civil Service Commission for the year 2011

Statement submitted by the Secretary-General in accordance with rule 153 of the rules of procedure of the General Assembly

Corrigendum

1. Paragraph 8 and footnote 4

For the existing text *substitute*

8. The financial implications of the recommendation with respect to an overall increase of 2.5 per cent for the mobility and hardship scheme for peacekeeping operations and the support account for peacekeeping operations have been estimated

11-57457 (E) 011111

Please recycle

at $1,874,700 and $39,600, respectively, for the financial period from 1 July 2011 to 30 June 2012, and at $3,749,400 and $79,200, respectively, for the financial period from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2013.[4]

[4] The total expenditures incurred by peacekeeping operations and the support account for peacekeeping operations for the period from 1 July 2010 to 30 June 2011 under the hardship, mobility and non-removal allowances were $95,602,600 and $3,164,300, respectively. Consequently, an increase by 2.5 per cent in the levels of the hardship, mobility and non-removal allowances would lead to an annual increase of $2,390,000 and $79,200, respectively, for the budgets of peacekeeping operations and the support account for peacekeeping operations. As indicated in footnote 3, the total additional costs of $1,764,900 per annum arising from the change in the level of the additional non-family hardship allowance for the United Nations estimated by the Commission could be proportionally estimated at $1,359,400 per annum for the budgets of peacekeeping operations.

2. Table 3

Replace table 3 with the table below.

Table 3

Summary of financial implications for peacekeeping operations and for the support account for peacekeeping operations

(United States dollars)

	1 July 2011-30 June 2012		*1 July 2012-30 June 2013*	
	Peacekeeping operations budget	*Support account*	*Peacekeeping operations budget*	*Support account*
(a) 2.5 per cent increase to the level of the mobility, hardship and non-removal allowances, including additional non-family hardship allowance	1 874 700	39 600	3 749 400	79 200
(b) Approved changes to the hardship reclassification system	1 425 900	—	2 851 800	—
(c) Danger pay (reduced requirements)	(6 142 600)	—	(12 285 200)	—
(d) The implementation of the 2010 place-to-place survey results	329 000	—	329 000	—
(e) Base/floor salary scale	8 300	1 400	16 600	2 800
Subtotal	**(2 504 700)**	**41 000**	**(5 338 400)**	**82 000**
Total (peacekeeping operations and support account)	**(2 463 700)**		**(5 256 400)**	